





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,907	11/16/2001	Richard F. Fibiger	44114	9488
22515 759	90 01/27/2003	·	•	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY			EXAMINER .	
INTELLECTUA 2301 N BRAZO	AL PROPERTY SECTI SPORT BLVD	ON	BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P	
FREEPORT, TX 77541-3257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			#\$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/890,907	FIBIGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher P Bruenjes	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	en parto que you				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/890,907

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 15, and 17-18, drawn to a process for making a structural foamed polymer.

Group II, claim(s) 2, 16, and 19-20, drawn to a structural foamed polymer.

Group III, claim(s) 3, 5, 15, and 17-18, drawn to a process of making a multiplayer polymer film, sheet or tube.

Group IV, claim(s) 4, 6, 10, 14, 16, and 19-20, drawn to a multiplayer polymer article in form of a film, sheet, tube or container.

Group V, claim(s) 7, drawn to a pultrusion process.

Group VI, claim(s) 8, drawn to a pultrusion structural profile.

Group VII, claim(s) 9, drawn to a compression molding process.

Group VIII, claim(s) 11, drawn to a process for making strand foamed polymer.

Group IX, claim(s) 12, drawn to a strand foamed polymer article.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,907

Art Unit: 1772

Group X, claim(s) 13, drawn to a SCORIM process for molding a polymer into an article.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-X do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claims 1-20 are either obvious over or anticipated by US-5,773,502.

 Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions, layers of silicate material, does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Duane Ulmer on January 17, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Application/Control Number: 09/890,907

Art Unit: 1772

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 703-305-3440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

January 22, 2003

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/23/03

Page 4